## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

TYSON MANKER, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and NATIONAL VETERANS COUNCIL FOR LEGAL REDRESS, on behalf of itself, its members, and all others similarly situated,			
Plaintiffs,			
v.			
CARLOS DEL TORO, Secretary of the Navy,			
Defendant.			

No. 3:18-cv-372 (CSH)

## [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

WHEREAS, the Court has reviewed and considered the Stipulation and the accompanying exhibits; and

WHEREAS, the Parties to the Stipulation have consented to the entry of this order; and

WHEREAS, all capitalized terms used in this order that are not otherwise defined herein have the meanings defined in the Stipulation;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_,
2021 that:

- 1. The Court has reviewed the Stipulation and preliminarily finds the Settlement set forth therein to be fundamentally fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class members, especially in light of the benefits achieved on behalf of them, the risks and delay inherent in litigation, and the limited amount of any potential recovery that could be shared by the Settlement Class members. Furthermore, the Parties' Settlement Agreement was the result of good-faith, arm's-length negotiations between experienced counsel under the supervision of Magistrate Judge Robert M. Spector, and is without any obvious deficiencies.
- 2. Pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court has made a preliminary determination to certify the following Settlement Class for the purposes of settlement only:

Veterans who served during the Iraq and Afghanistan Era—defined as the period between October 7, 2001, and the present—who:

- a) were discharged from the Navy, Navy Reserves, Marine Corps, or Marine Corps Reserve with less-than-Honorable statuses, including General and Other-than-Honorable discharges but excluding Uncharacterized, Bad Conduct, Dishonorable discharges, or Dismissals;
- b) have not received upgrades of their discharge statuses to Honorable from the NDRB; and
- c) have diagnoses of PTSD, TBI, or other related mental health conditions, or records documenting one or more symptoms of PTSD, TBI, or other related mental health conditions at the time of

discharge, attributable to their military service under the Hagel Memo standards of liberal or special consideration.

- 3. The Court finds and concludes that the prerequisites of class action certification under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been satisfied for the Settlement Class defined herein and for the purposes of the Settlement only, in that:
- (a) the members of the Settlement Class are so numerous that joinder of all Settlement Class members is impracticable;
- (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class members;
- (c) the claims of the Class Representatives are typical of the Settlement Class's claims;
- (d) Class Representatives and Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Settlement Class;
- (e) there are no conflicts of interest between the Class Representatives and members of the Settlement Class;
- (f) the questions of law and fact common to Settlement Class members predominate over any individual questions; and
- (g) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 4. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and for the purposes of the Settlement only, Tyson Manker and National Veterans Council for Legal Redress are certified as Class Representatives. The Jerome N. Frank Legal Services Organization of Yale Law School and the law firm of Jenner & Block LLP are appointed as Class Counsel.

- 6. The Court reserves the right to approve the Settlement with or without modification and with or without further notice to the Settlement Class of any kind. The Court may also adjourn the Fairness Hearing or modify any of the dates herein without further notice to members of the Settlement Class.
- 7. The Court finds that the distribution of the Class Notice attached as Exhibit A to the Stipulation in the manner set forth in the Stipulation is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, consistent with due process of law, and constitutes due and sufficient notice of this Order and the Settlement to all persons entitled thereto and is in full compliance with the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 8. Class Counsel are directed to undertake the extensive outreach strategy described in the Stipulation that includes issuance of a press release as well as the following efforts: (a) engagement with both traditional media outlets and social media, (b) engagement with military-and veterans-specific news media, (c) collaboration with key elected officials, and (d)

publicizing the settlement with veterans' organizations, legal services offices, and veterans advocates across the country. Recipients of communications from Class Counsel should receive copies of the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement.

- 9. Defendant shall publicize the Settlement and Class Notice by issuing a press release of its own.
- 10. Plaintiffs shall cause the Class Notice to be distributed to Settlement Class members in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation no later than fourteen (14) days after the entry of this Order.
- 11. Settlement Class members shall be bound by all orders, determinations and judgments in this Action concerning the Settlement, whether favorable or unfavorable.
- 12. Any Settlement Class member may appear in person or through counsel (at their own expense) at the Fairness Hearing and be heard in support of or in opposition to the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the proposed Settlement, award of counsel fees, and the reimbursement of costs. The Court will consider any Settlement Class member's objection to the Settlement only if such Settlement Class member has served by hand, mail, or e-mail their written objection and supporting papers (including any legal support or evidence in support of the objection and grounds to support their status as a Class member) such that they are received on or before twenty-one (21) calendar days before the Fairness Hearing, upon Class Counsel: Michael J. Wishnie, Jerome N. Frank Legal Services Organization, Yale Law School, P.O. Box 209090, New Haven, CT 06520-9090, manker.settlement@yale.edu; and Defendant's Counsel: Natalie N. Elicker, U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Connecticut, 157 Church St, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor, New Haven, CT 06510, Natalie.Elicker@usdoj.gov; and has filed said objections and supporting papers with the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court for the District of

Connecticut, 141 Church Street, New Haven, CT 06510. Any Settlement Class member who does not make his, her, or its objection in the manner provided for in the Class Notice shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be foreclosed from making any objection to any aspect of the Settlement, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. Attendance at the Fairness Hearing is not necessary, however, persons wishing to be heard orally in opposition to the approval of the Settlement are required to indicate in their written objection their intention to appear at the hearing. Persons who intend to object to the Settlement and desire to present evidence at the Fairness Hearing must include in their written objections the identity of any witnesses they may call to testify and exhibits they intend to introduce into evidence at the Fairness Hearing.

- 13. Settlement Class members do not need to appear at the hearing or take any other action to indicate their approval.
- 14. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, Class Representatives, all Settlement Class members, and each of them, and anyone who acts or purports to act on their behalf, shall not institute, commence or prosecute any action which asserts the Settled Claims in the Stipulation against the Defendant.
- 15. Class Counsel shall file and serve its application for final approval of the Settlement no later than seven (7) days prior to the date of the Fairness Hearing. Along with this application, Class Counsel shall file and serve an affidavit stating and describing in detail the communications between Class Counsel and recipients of the outreach efforts referred to in Paragraph 8 of this order.
- 16. If the Settlement fails to become effective as defined in the Stipulation or is terminated, then both the Stipulation, including any amendment(s) thereof, except as expressly

provided in the Stipulation, and this Preliminary Approval Order shall be null and void, of no further force or effect, and without prejudice to any Party, and may not be introduced as evidence or used in any actions or proceedings by any person or entity against the Parties, and the Parties shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective litigation positions as of the date and time immediately prior to the execution of the Stipulation.

17.	The Court retains ex	xclusive jurisdiction over the Action to consider all further	er
matters arisin	g out of or connected	with the Settlement.	
DATED this	s day of	, 2021	

BY THE COURT	Γ:
Honorable Charle	•